

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,466	11/08/2001	Erik Ekkel	US 018178 (D8333-09)	4995
7:	590 12/07/2004		EXAM	INER
Corporate Pat	ent Counsel		ABEL JALII	L, NEVEEN
•	merica Corporation			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
580 White Plair			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tarrytourn NV	7 10501		2165	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,466 11/08/2001		Erik Ekkel	US 018178 (D8333-09)		
. 75	90 06/14/2004		ЕХАМ	INER	
Corporate Pate	ent Counsel		ABEL JALIL	, NEVEEN	
Philips North A	merica Corporation	•			
580 White Plain	s Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Tarrytown, NY	10591		2175	,	

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

JUN 2 2 2004

Technology Center 2100

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estancians of the many be arraighted under the privations of 30 CPR 1.13(a). In a avent, however, may a raply be timely filled after 50 (6) MONTH'S from the mailing data of this communication. If NO period for reply is section above, the mailine data of the communication. If NO period for reply is section above, the mailine data of the communication. If NO period for reply is section above, the mailine and a fill the communication. If NO period for reply is section to section of the private properties of the communication. If NO period for reply is section to section of the private properties of the communication. If NO period for reply is section to section of the private properties of the communication. If NO period for reply is section to section of the private properties of the communication. If NO period the private properties of the communication of the private properties of the communication of the private properties of the communication. If NO period the private properties are properties of the communication. If NO period the private properties are properties of the communication of the private properties of the communication. If NO period the private properties are properties of the communication of the private properties of the communication. If NO period the private properties are properties of the private properties of the communication. If NO period the private properties are properties of the private properties of the communication. If NO period the private properties are properties of the private properties private properties of the private properties of the private		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Noveen Abel-Jalil 2175 Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. Earnation for many be areafored used by the provision of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In a event, however, may a reply be timely filed to the priod for reply specified above in less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statisticy minimum of thirty (30) days, are like the priod for reply specified above in less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statisticy minimum of thirty (30) days, are ply within the statisticy minimum of thirty (30) days, are ply within the statisticy minimum of thirty (30) days, are ply within the statisticy minimum of the priod of the pr	Office Action Summer	10/006,466	EKKEL, ERIK				
Prefrid for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elements of own may be available under the provision of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In a ovent, however, may a reply be timely filed set to the provision of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In a ovent, however, may a reply be timely filed set to the provision of 5 CPR 1.136(a). In a ovent, however, may a reply be timely filed set to the provision of 5 CPR 1.136(a). In a ovent, however, may a reply be timely filed set to the provision of the provision of 5 CPR 1.136(a). In a ovent, however, may a reply be timely filed set to the provision of the provision of 5 CPR 1.136(a). In a ovent, however, may a reply be timely filed set to the provision of 5 CPR 1.136(a). In a ovent, however, may a reply be timely filed set to the provision of the provision of 5 CPR 1.136(a). In a communication of 5 CPR 1.136(a). In a communication of the provision of the provision of the provision of the communication of the provision of the communication. The provision of the provision to the provision of the provision of the provision to the provision of the provision to the provision of the provision	Unice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. active 30k (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Bit he period for righty sectified above, the maintaine state of the communication of the period of righty section above in less than shift (50) days, a reply within the statedary minimum of birty (00) days will be considered dimely. Bit No period for righty is specified above, the maintaine state of the communication of the period of paper and will expire 51k (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Bit No period for righty is specified above, the maintaine state the state of the communication of the communication. Bit No period for righty service date the thin the maintaine state the maintaine of the communication. Bit No period for righty service date the thin the maintaine state the maintaine of the communication. Bit No period for righty service date of this communication. Bit No period for righty service date of this communication. Bit No period for righty serviced the state than three maintaine the mailing date of this communication. Bit No period for righty serviced to the communication. Bit No period for righty serviced to the communication. Bit No period for righty serviced to the communication. Bit No period for righty serviced to the communication. Bit No period for righty serviced to the communication. Bit No period for righty serviced to the communication. Bit No period for righty serviced to the communication. Bit No period for righty serviced to the period for righty for righty serviced to the maintained and rights. Bit No period for righty serviced to the communication is non-final. Bit No period for righty serviced to the maintained the maintained and right serviced to the serviced to the serviced to the period for right serviced to the se			<u> </u>				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estancians of the map be available under the provision of 30 CPR 1.13(a). In a ownt, however, may a raply be timely filled effect (%) MOINTS from the mailing date of the communication. **False to Reply MOINTS from the mailing date of the communication.** **False to reply within the set or estanded period for reply will, by stabilities statistically minimum of thirty (0) days will be communication. **False to reply within the set or estanded period for reply will, by stabilities statistically mailing that the set of estanded period for reply will be set or estanded for the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any will be set or estanded period for reply reduced for the period for reply will be set or estanded for the set or estanded period for reply reduced for the period for reply reply filed or period for reply reply filed or period for reply reply filed for period for reply reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication applied for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Status 1 Responsive to communication(s) filled on	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 efter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing.	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the making date of this communication.				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 The specification is objected to py the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	_		·				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are ejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or dectaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOW ROPPOVIN CENNOLOGY CEN	, -		secution as to the merits is				
A) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5] Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or dectaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV NOTICE of Paferences Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Oransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. DOV ROPOVIN. **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV ROPOVIN. **INEIT** **							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. DOV ROPOVIN. **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV ROPOVIN. **INEIT** **	4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Interview Summary (PTO-413)		n from consideration					
6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some C None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV POPOVIN. **INEITECHNOL.D.C.**			·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV POPONIX. **INEITERINSORY PA** **INEITERINS							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or dectaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV ROPOVITY **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV ROPOVIN. **INE!* **INE!* **INE!* **TECHNOLOG:** 1. Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. **Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV ROPOVIT. **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV ROPOVIK. CIPERVISORY PA** ANNEL TECHNOLOGY All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date.		,					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV ROPOVICE **INEI** **INEI** **INEI** **INEI** **INEI** **INEI** **Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. **Paper No(s)/Mail Date. **Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). **Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.		stord ma h\\ \ = htarkers a color a					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV ROPOVICAL STATES OF PATE SINE STATES OF PATE							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV ROPOVIL INET IN			` `				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV POPOVIX **INET TECHNOLOG: **IN							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV ROPOVID: **AINER **TIRETHERMOLOG**	The vain of declaration is objected to by the Exal	inuner. Note the attached Office A	Action or form PTO-152.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOVROPOVID Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Dovropovity Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Dovropovity Copies of the certified copies not received Dovropovity Copies not received Copies not received Dovropovity Copies not received Dovropovity Copies not received Copies not received Dovropovity Copies not received Co	•						
DOV ROPOVID IUPERVISORY PATT ANNEL TECHNOL OF 100 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Msil Date.	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents to Certified copies of the priority documents to Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents to Copies of the certified copies of the priority copies.	nave been received. have been received in Application of documents have been received	n No				
### Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not received	DOVROPOVIO				
Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	ttachment(s)		TRAMMA				
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (P	PTO-413)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) S) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate)				
Patent and Trademark Office		7 C Outer					

Art Unit: 2175

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in the abstract, line 1, "is disclosed" should be deleted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-7, 9-12, and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Caplan (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0050834 A1).

As to claim 1, <u>Caplan</u> discloses a system for peer-to-peer access to a collection of data, comprising:

- a. a musicbox (See page 9, paragraph 0109, wherein "musicbox" reads on "media player") comprising:
- i. a persistent data store, the persistent data store containing a plurality of individually selectable data files of a predetermined data format, some of the data files being pre-loaded onto the persistent data store (See page 10, paragraphs 0114-0116, wherein "individually selectable data files" reads on "playlist");
- ii. a data communications interface operatively connected to a data communications network -to effect a peer-to-peer network (See page 6, paragraph 0078); and
- iii. a controller operatively connected to the persistent data store and the data communications interface (See page 2, paragraphs 0014-0018, prior art), and
- b. software executing in the musicbox (See page 6, paragraphs 0076-0077), the software capable of
- i. identifying other musicboxes executing instances of the software (See page 11, paragraphs 0142-0144, also see page 10, paragraphs 0123-0125);
- ii. allowing peer-to-peer sharing of the data files with the identified other musicboxes, the sharing restricted to the identified other musicboxes having authorization to participate in the peer-to-peer sharing of data files (See page 13, column 2, lines 27-67, and see page 11, paragraphs 0129-0132);
- iii. securing the data files from unauthorized access (See page 13, column 2, lines 27-67, and see page 11, paragraphs 0129-0132);

iv. reproducing the data files into a predetermined perceptible format (See page 12, paragraphs 0147-0150, also see page 1, paragraph 0006, prior art); and v. allowing users of the software to manipulate the data files (See page 12,

paragraphs 0150-0151, also see page 9, paragraphs 0107-0109).

As to claim 3, <u>Caplan</u> discloses wherein the data files comprise at least one of audiovisual works, music recordings, performance recordings, digitized film recordings, digitized video recordings, graphic work images, text, and software (See page 5, paragraphs 0054-0058).

As to claim 4, <u>Caplan</u> discloses wherein securing files from unauthorized access in step (b)(iii) comprises at least one of:

- (1) securing a data file from unauthorized copying (See page, paragraphs 0027-0039);
- (2) securing a data file for authorized access (See page 13, column 2, lines 54-67, also see page 6, paragraph 0072);
- (3) securing an predetermined collection of data files from unauthorized copying (See page 13, column 2, lines 54-67, also see page 6, paragraph 0072); and
- (4) securing an predetermined collection of data files for authorized access (Sce page 13, column 2, lines 54-67, also see page 6, paragraph 0072).

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 5, <u>Caplan</u> discloses further comprising an audio-visual interface to export audio and/or visual data for further reproduction of content within the data files (See page 12, paragraphs 0152-0157).

As to claim 6, <u>Caplan</u> discloses wherein the musicbox is selected from at least one of specialized musicbox devices and personal computers (See page 12, paragraphs 0150-0152, also see page 1, paragraph 0006, prior art).

As to claim 7, <u>Caplan</u> discloses further comprising a central server to provide registration services, the central server being a peer participant in the peer-to-peer network (See page 14, column 2, lines 1-29).

As to claim 9, <u>Caplan</u> discloses a method of distributing data files for a system of claim 1, comprising:

- a. pre-loading a plurality of data files onto the persistent data store from a larger set of data files (See page 14, column 1, lines 1-30);
- b. initializing access of the system to a peer-to-peer network (See page 13, column 2, lines 27-67, wherein "initializing access" reads on "activation");
- c. identifying other systems available on the peer-to-peer network (See page 13, column 2, lines 27-67);
- d. determining which of the data files on the other identified systems are not present on the persistent data store (See page 11, paragraphs 0129-0132);

Art Unit: 2175

e. identifying the plurality of data files on the persistent data store to the other identified systems (See page 11, paragraphs 0129-0132, also see page 1, paragraph 0006, prior art);

- f. allowing a user to catalog the data files available on the identified systems (See page 12, paragraphs 0154-0157);
- g. allowing the user to select a data file from the plurality of data files identified on the peer-to-peer network (See page 11, paragraphs 0129-0132); and
- h. allowing the user to render the data files into a desired perceptible format (See page 12, paragraphs 0147-0150).

As to claim 10, <u>Caplan</u> discloses comprising requiring a user to log into the peer-to-peer network and presenting a user interface to the user appropriate to allow the user to select one or more categories of data files available from a larger set of such categories (See page 12, paragraph 0157, also see page 11, paragraphs 0130-0135).

As to claim 11, <u>Caplan</u> discloses further comprising requiring access by the musicbox to the peer-to-peer network on a predetermined periodic basis (See abstract).

As to claim 12, <u>Caplan</u> discloses comprising allowing user to purchase a data file for permanent access, the permanent access comprising downloading the data file onto a storage medium of the user's choice (See page 11, paragraphs 0143-0144, also see page 12, paragraph 0150).

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 16, <u>Caplan</u> discloses wherein step (b) further comprises accessing a central server to accomplish the initializing of access to the peer-to-peer network, the central server being a peer participant in the peer-to-peer network (See page 5, paragraphs 0058-0063).

As to claim 17, <u>Caplan</u> discloses wherein step (c) further comprises at least one of identifying a musicbox to a central server, identifying a musicbox to other participants in the peer-to-peer network by broadcasting an identity of the musicbox to the other participants in the peer-to-peer network, and identifying a musicbox to other participants in the peer-to-peer network by pinging for an identity of the other participants in the peer-to-peer network (See page, paragraphs).

As to claim 18, <u>Caplan</u> discloses wherein step (e) further comprises programmatically providing one or more participants in the peer-to-peer network with a description-of content available at a musicbox to allow users to scout for desired content (See page 11, paragraphs 0129-0135, also see page 11, paragraph 0145).

As to claim 19, Caplan discloses comprising:

- a. gathering data of the user's usage of the musicbox into a user data profile (See page 12, paragraphs 0152-0156, also see page 10, paragraphs 0116-0125)
- b. making the user data profile available to a content provider (See page 13, column 1, lines 26-67).

As to claim 20, <u>Caplan</u> discloses further comprising using the user data profile by a provider of data files to generate messages targeted to the user based where the targeted messages comprise at least one of advertisements, announcements, and samples of further data similar to that in the profile data. (See page 13, column 2, lines 1-30, also see page 12, paragraph 0150).

As to claim 21, <u>Caplan</u> discloses a system for peer-to-peer access to a collection of data, comprising:

- a. means for storing persistent data, the persistent data comprising a plurality of data files of a predetermined data format, the data files further secured from unauthorized access (See page 13, column 2, lines 31-67);
- b. means for data communications, operatively connected to the means for storing persistent data (See page 11, paragraph 0144, wherein "storing" reads on "download", also see page 10, paragraphs 0124-0126); and
- c. means for accessing the persistent data, operatively in communication with the means for storing persistent data and the means for data communications (See page 11, paragraph 0144, also see page 10, paragraphs 0124-0126), capable of:
- i. identifying other systems executing the means for accessing the persistent data (See page 11, paragraphs 0129-0135);
- ii. allowing peer-to-peer sharing of the persistent data with the identified other systems, the sharing restricted to the identified other systems (See page 11, paragraphs 0129-0135, and see page 11, paragraph 0144); and

iii. allowing users of the means for accessing the persistent data to manipulate the persistent data (See page 12, paragraphs 0150-0151, also see page 9, paragraphs 0107-0109).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 8, and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caplan (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0050834 A1) in view of Cooper et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2001/0051996 A1).

As to claim 2, <u>Caplan</u> does not teach wherein the data files comprise works subject to copyright and workings not subject to copyright.

Copper et al. teaches wherein the data files comprise works subject to copyright and workings not subject to copyright (See page 8, paragraphs 0099-0126, also see page 1, paragraph 0006).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified <u>Caplan</u> to include wherein the data files comprise works subject to copyright and workings not subject to copyright.

Art Unit: 2175

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Caplan</u> by the teaching of <u>Cooper et al.</u> to include wherein the data files comprise works subject to copyright and workings not subject to copyright because it creates a secure and safe method for authentication and collecting royalties.

As to claim 8, <u>Caplan</u> does not teach comprising an authorization device, comprising at least one of an electronic smart card, a mechanical smart card, and an optical key smart card.

Cooper et al. teaches comprising an authorization device, comprising at least one of an electronic smart card, a mechanical smart card, and an optical key smart card (See page 13, paragraphs 0187-00196).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified <u>Caplan</u> to include comprising an authorization device; comprising at least one of an electronic smart card, a mechanical smart card, and an optical key smart card.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Caplan</u> by the teaching of <u>Cooper et al.</u> to include comprising an authorization device, comprising at least one of an electronic smart card, a mechanical smart card, and an optical key smart card because it creates a secure and safe method for payment and user profile storage.

As to claim 13, <u>Caplan</u> does not teach further comprising limiting a user to at least one of a read only or transient access mode.

Cooper et al. teaches further comprising limiting a user to at least one of a read only or transient access mode (See pages 3-4, paragraphs 0043-0046)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified <u>Caplan</u> to include further comprising limiting a user to at least one of a read only or transient access mode.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Caplan</u> by the teaching of <u>Cooper et al.</u> to include further comprising limiting a user to at least one of a read only or transient access mode because it creates a secure and safe method for authentication and collecting royalties.

As to claim 14, Caplan does not teach wherein step (b) further comprises:

- i. requiring the user to obtain a subscription;
- registering the user once the subscription is obtained, and
- iii. collecting and distributing appropriate royalties to content creators at least partially based on the user's subscription.

Cooper et al. teaches wherein step (b) further comprises:

- i. requiring the user to obtain a subscription (See page 3, paragraph 0042, wherein "subscription" reads on "registered");
- registering the user once the subscription is obtained (See page 7, paragraphs 0085-088, also see page 8, paragraph 0124); and

Art Unit: 2175

iii. collecting and distributing appropriate royalties to content creators at least partially based on the user's subscription (See page 17, paragraph 0275, also see page 13, paragraph 0195).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified <u>Caplan</u> to include wherein step (b) further comprises:

- i. requiring the user to obtain a subscription;
- ii. registering the user once the subscription is obtained; and
- iii. collecting and distributing appropriate royalties to content creators at least partially based on the user's subscription.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Caplan</u> by the teaching of <u>Cooper et al.</u> to include wherein step (b) further comprises:

- i. requiring the user to obtain a subscription;
- ii. registering the user once the subscription is obtained, and
- iii. collecting and distributing appropriate royalties to content creators at least partially based on the user's subscription because it creates a secure and safe method for authentication and collecting royalties.

As to claim 15, <u>Caplan</u> as modified discloses wherein the subscription comprises at least one of monthly fees, pre-paid content purchase, and per unit of content purchase (See page 12, paragraphs 0150-0152).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Busm et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0087887 A1) teaches device-to-device network.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neveen Abel-Jalil whose telephone number is 703-305-8114. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on 703-305-3830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Neveen Abel-Jalil June 8, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTEP 2100

Notice of References Cited Examiner

Application/Control No.

Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination EKKEL, ERIK

Neveen Abel-Jalil

Art Unit
2175 Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name .	Classification
	Α	US-2001/0051996	12-2001	Cooper et al.	709/217
	Β	US-2002/0087887	07-2002	Busam et al.	713/201
	C	US-2003/0050834	03-2003	Caplan, Sergio	705/14
	۵	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
٦	1	US-			
	J	US-			
7	к	US-			
7	L	US-			
7	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s		·			
,	T					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	×	

A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

U.S. Paloni and Tredemark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

Notice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 20040608







COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.G. 20231

Bib Date Sheet

CONFIRMATION NO. 4995

APPLICANTS Erik Ekkel, Cuper ** CONTINUING DATA ** FOREIGN APPLICAT IF REQUIRED, FOREIG ** 01/11/2002	TIONS	•	÷ ~185 € ~18				09)
r Foreign Applicat	TIONS ************************************	•	÷ √⊕ 6 ~				
IF REQUIRED, FOREIG		•	E MA				
F REQUIRED, FOREIG	ON FILING LICENSE O			3			
		RANTED					
Foreign Priority ctairmed 35 USC 119 (e-d) conditions met Verified and Acknowledged Exemp	yes One O Met atter	b cc	TATE OR DUNTRY CA	SHEETS DRAWING 2	TOTA CLAIN 21	- 1	INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 2
Corporate Patent Counse Philips North America Co 580 White Plains Road Tarrytown ,NY 10591 TITLE							
System and method for a	peer-to peer data file	service	·	•-			
KECEIVED 170.	uthority has been give to charge/credi for following:	n in Paper I DEPOSIT	ACCOUNT	1.17 time)	Fees (Fi	roces	sing Ext. of

This Page is Inserted by IIFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

D BLACK BORDERS	
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES	
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	
D BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING	
C SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS	
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY	
OTHER:	

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.